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Abstract

Background: One of the theoretical perspectives for the study of wisdom is related to intelligence. Equilibrium theory of wisdom begins with tacit knowledge structure which is a major component of practical intelligence. Hypotheses also show that there is a relationship between creativity and wisdom. Therefore, this research has been conducted in the field of Wisdom with an analytical approach and is a correlation study.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between creativity and intelligence with wisdom in youths.

Methods: The research design is correlation. Sample consisted of 120 volunteer. Their mean age was 20 (in the range of 16-24) who 50 percent (n = 60) were girl and 50 percent (n = 60) were boy. Wechsler Youth Intelligence Scale (WAIS-R), Torrance Test creativity, Abedi creativity test was used to collect information from three-dimensional scale of wisdom (3D-WS). Pearson correlation test was used to analyze the data.

Results: The results showed that there is a significant relationship between creativity and intelligence with wisdom and creativity, if a person gets higher score in creativity and intelligence with wisdom index will get higher score in wisdom. Given the importance of internal and external factors associated with wisdom, understanding these factors will contribute to a better understanding of wisdom structure.

Conclusions: The findings indicate that there is a relationship between intelligence and creativity with wisdom. Therefore, further research in this field and the emphasis on the subject of creativity and intelligence in the educational system can enrich the concept of wisdom in the future.
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Introduction

One of the theoretical perspectives for the study of wisdom is related to intelligence (Balts and Smith, 1990). According to Balts and Smith (1995) research in the field of wisdom is useful in developing comprehensive patterns of intelligence. Intelligence study has been under the performance study, and in the form of lesson plans associated with the school for many years. Over the last decade, dissatisfaction about this focus has been increased, and considerable efforts have been made in the review of the structure and function of intelligence (Sternberg & Wagner, 1986).

These new attempts to define intelligence, on the one hand, take advantage of advances in component theories of mind and studies of cognitive processes (Anderson, 1998), on the other hand, there is main direction about fundamental development of mental behavior (Sternberg & Wagner, 1986). The initial focus on the skills and knowledge related to the school has been questioned, and new fields of life (for example, the social fabric, family, leisure, art and business) were selected as areas where the actual forms and process of intelligence could be properly studied. The study in the field of wisdom is the example of this development (Balts and Smith, 1990).

Also Sternberg (2000) introduces wisdom as a kind of genius. Equilibrium theory of wisdom begins with tacit knowledge structure which is a major component of practical intelligence. Tacit knowledge includes the kind of learning that that are not taught clearly and formally and often are not even expressed verbally (Sternberg, Wagner, Williams and Horvath, 1995), thus, tacit knowledge consists of three main features: (A) is related to the way of working (B) is related to goals that are valuable for people (C) usually is acquired through experience or taking advantage of others’ experiences than direct teaching class or reading.

In fact, tacit knowledge is derived from the tripartite theory of intelligence (Sternberg, 1997). Sternberg introduces three main types of acumen in his theory of intelligence: analytic, synthetic and practical. Analytical acumen includes the ability to analyze the problem to its components. The ability to understand the components of problem and the interaction between the elements are a skill that is typically measured by conventional IQ tests. Synthetic acumen includes insight, intuition, creativity and skill to adapt to new situations, skills that are typically associated with high achievement in the arts and sciences. Practical acumen includes the use of academic and synthetic ability to solve daily problems, the types of skills that are characteristic of people who are successful employees.

Although tacit knowledge is achieved in the context of a domain but is related to field more. This distinction has been made by Csikszentmihalyi (1996). He knows the domain as formal knowledge about a field that is socially defined. So, it seems to be associated with academic intelligence domain, while practical intelligence and especially wisdom is associated with the field. Because the field is the introduction of domain social organization, interpersonal and transpersonal interactions mainly occurred in the field.

According to Horn & Masunaga (2000) believe that the wisdom involves some grown aspects of human intelligence. Based on their opinion, such grown intelligence includes technical knowledge which is an essential element of wisdom. Crystallized intelligence and long-term memory abilities are increased by age throughout youth hood. According to Horn & Masunaga, wisdom is a form of reasoning that is strictly on wide range of specialized knowledge which has been created through learning over a long pe-
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Experimental research in the domain of wisdom implicit theories (public comment about the specific structures) has shown that there is some overlap between wisdom and intelligence (for example, Clayton and Birrne, 1980; Staudinger and Balts, 1993; Sternberg, 1990). Of course, there are some attributes that are unique to the wisdom structures (Clayton and Birrne, 1980). For example, based on public opinion, it can be mentioned to reasoning ability, problem solving ability or merit public as overlapping characteristics between intelligence and wisdom and some features such as Knowledge, exceptional understanding and communication skills are limited to wisdom structures (Halliday and Chandler, 1986; Sternberg, 1990).

Two different hypotheses can be observed by the relationship between creativity and wisdom. When we look at creativity from the perspective of divergent thinking, there is low or no relationship with wisdom (for example, Sternberg, 1990). However, when we conceptualize the creativity by the title of integrity of innovation and humor with experience and grown wisdom, strong positive correlation is to be expected (for example, Csikszentmihalyi & Rathunde, 1990; Gardner, 1983). In this regard, the ancient wisdom literature reports that the intellectual solutions are often formed by the excellence within a given problem or introduction of a new perspective (for example Solomonic solutions and Assmann 1994). In the same framework, Arlin (1990) speaks about problem troubleshooting by the meaning of the problem elements as an important characteristic of wisdom.

Simonton (1990) discusses the implications of the creative productions theories, and believes that there is a contrary longitudinal relationship between creativity and wisdom. However, he believes that the latest masterpiece may be the demonstration of grown wisdom. Sternberg (1985) chose professionals and the public from different parts of America to determine the characteristics of the three concepts of wisdom, intelligence and creativity. The results showed that the correlation between wisdom and intelligence and the correlation between wisdom and creativity are 0.42 to 0.78 and 0.24 to 0.48, respectively. These results suggest that the concept of wisdom in people believes is closer to intelligence than the creativity. So, the aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between creativity and intelligence with wisdom.

Method

The methodology of this study is co-relational one. The study population is consisted of youths. In this research, the sample is consisted of 120 youths age ranging from 15 to 24 who were selected by a statement. 50% of them were girl (n = 60) and the rest of them were boy (n = 60). In terms of education, 7% (n = 9), 37% (n = 44), 8% (n = 10), 24% (n = 29), 13% (n = 16) and 2% (2) had high school diploma, diploma, associate degree, bachelor, master respectively.

The following tools were used to collect data:

- Three-dimensional wisdom scale (3D-WS): Three-dimensional scale has been made by Monika Ardelt from the University of Florida in 2003. This scale consists of 39 articles and measures three dimensions: cognitive, reflective and emotional. Cognitive, reflective and emotional dimensions include 14, 12 and 13 articles, respectively. Internal reliability (Cronbach’s alpha) of three-dimensional
wisdom scale ranges from 0.79 to 0.85 in this study.

- **Wechsler Youth Intelligence Scale WICS-R**: This test is used to measure intelligence. At this scale, practical and verbal IQ score is derived from an average of 11 subtests. Six verbal scales that mainly measure verbal comprehension and understanding and five practical scales measure the visual-spatial abilities. Wechsler test validity and reliability of scales are generally high. Wechsler (1981) reported that the two halves of the test reliability for IQ of overall scale, verbal scale and practical scale are 97, 97 and 93, respectively (Groth and Marnat translated by Sharifiand Nikkhooi, 1387).

- **Torrance visual test creativity**: Torrance’s creativity test has been most applied to measure creativity among available tests. This test has been used more than others in research and Educational measurements. So far, more than two thousand studies which their results have been published in prestigious American journals, have used Torrance test as a means of measuring creativity (Torrance, 1989). Torrance test is made based on his theory and definition of creativity. He briefly knows creativity consisted of four main factors. These factors include: fluency, elaboration, flexibility, originality. Torrance creative thinking tests are consisted of 12 tests and are divided into three sets of visual, audio and verbal which are called the creative thinking with the images, and the creative thinking with sounds and the creative thinking with words respectively. In order to create a mental comfortable and stimulating environment and avoid feeling threatened, tests and procedures are defined as activities focused on game and entertainment. Torrance explains that these tests are usable from the kindergarten level to graduate courses. Although the test is available (Anastasi, translated by Baraheni, 1371). Test reliability is 0.80 by retest (Khodarahmi quoted Abedi, 1383).

- **Abedi Verbal Creativity Test**: this test has 60 articles with 3 options that there are 22, 11,16 and 11 articles in fluency, elaboration, originality and flexibility parts, respectively. Test reliability coefficient of fluency, originality, flexibility and elaboration are 0.85, 0.82, 0.84 and 0.80, respectively (Abedi, 1372).

The subjects were individually tested after talking with them and obtaining their consents and without reference to the fact that the research is measuring which structure. The subjects were assured that their responses would be confidential.

**Findings**

Data were analyzed by the following steps to determine the relationship between creativity and intelligence with wisdom. After determining the normality of the data using one-sample non parametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test (Table 1) and the linearity of the data (Table 2), the zero-order correlations between variables were calculated (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wisdom</th>
<th>The overall IQ</th>
<th>Verbal creativity</th>
<th>Visual creativity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>significant level</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to Table 1 wisdom data, the overall IQ, verbal creativity and visual creativity are normal.

Table 2: The relationship between wisdom and verbal IQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The overall IQ * Wisdom</td>
<td>131.757</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>131.757</td>
<td>10.204</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verbal creativity* Wisdom</td>
<td>324.663</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>324.663</td>
<td>38.140</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual creativity* Wisdom</td>
<td>187.418</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>187.418</td>
<td>37.234</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 2, there is linear relationship between wisdom with the overall IQ, verbal creativity and visual creativity.

Table 3: Correlation coefficients between creativity and intelligence with wisdom

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The overall IQ * wisdom</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verbal creativity* wisdom</td>
<td>0.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual creativity* wisdom</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant level is at the 0.01**

According to Table 3, there is positive correlation between the overall IQ and creativity with wisdom. In other words, if a person gets higher scores in overall IQ and creativity, will also get higher scores in wisdom.

Discussion and Conclusion

The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between creativity and intelligence with wisdom. Based on the data in Table 3, creativity and intelligence are associated with wisdom.

The findings of this study show a positive relationship between intelligence and wisdom. In other words, if a person gets higher score in intelligence, will get a higher score in wisdom. This finding is consistent with Staudinger and et al (1997) results. Their study shows a predictive relationship between performance related to the wisdom and intelligence. The findings of this study is also consistent with empirical research in the domain of implicit theories about wisdom (public comment on the specific structures). These studies have shown that there are some overlaps between the wisdom and intelligence (For example, Clayton and Birrne, 1980; baltsand Staudinger, 1994; Sternberg, 1990). For example, based on the public opinion, it can be mentioned to reasoning ability, problem solving ability or merit public as overlapping characteristics between intelligence and wisdom (Halliday and Chandler, 1986; Sternberg, 1990). Wise people think such a way that design solutions to be continued to the future, it is not possible except in the light of intelligence and abstract thinking (Birrne and Fisher, 1990). As we know, the constituent elements of intelligence and abstract thinking are the ability of verbal conceptualizing and abstract reasoning. These functions play the role of mediator for person awareness objects and daily events in world together. A fundamental aspect of person compatibility with his environment is to apply these abilities to clarify, reduce, and classify the style and manner in which he responds. This requires inductive reasoning because subjects must move from specific facts to a rule or principle. This implicitly requires that person uses his long-term memory and uses proper explanation in his answers. The power of abstraction and introspection and flexibility plays an important role in this area. In contemporary analytic approaches to wisdom
(Balts and Staudinger, 2000), two analytical components are distinguished: basic Knowledge and high level of cognitive complexity provide using the basic knowledge. Intelligence, abstract reasoning, introspection and flexibility provide appropriate conditions for use of the experience and the basic knowledge for problem solving and insightful behavior.

Other results showed a positive relationship between creativity and wisdom. In other words if a person gets higher score in creativity, will get higher score in wisdom. This finding is consistent with results of Staudinger and et al (1997). Their studies how strong predictive relationship between the performance of the wisdom and creativity. Rational behavior requires an adaptive response to a situation where it is necessary to invent and choose the most efficient way to deal with a particular issue. The person must not only have the right information, but must use this information for decision-making and reaching to innovative answer. This suggests that deep insight and good judgment on the difficult problems of life require at least a certain degree of creative potential. In the wisdom literature, its moving to the other side of a given system, which is defined by a given problem situation, is described as the main characteristic of insightful solutions (for example, Assmann, 1994). Wisdom predictive power also suggests that knowledge and judgment related to wisdom, as is sometimes possible, is not conservative in nature (for example, Hahn, 1991).

In general, we can say that intelligence and creativity are necessary for wisdom but are not sufficient. The basic process of wisdom requires the integrity or balance between two conflicting aspects of personality - typically cognitive and emotional processes. Wisdom puts the separate processes of logic and uncertain conditions together. The results of current study show the psychometric wisdom position. There is a significant overlap between wisdom and intelligence and creativity. Given the importance of internal and external factors associated with wisdom, understanding these factors will contribute to a better understanding of wisdom structures. Also it can be used in educational programs.

At the end of the study, we point out to some limitations. As we know, there are different questionnaires to measure wisdom that every questionnaire is made of different components considering to its theoretical principles. In this study, the wisdom three-dimensional test was used; another limitation is the way of sample selection that people were selected as volunteers.
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